

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4026 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-78 Year-2024 Thana- PALI District- Jehanabad

=====

Lalti Devi @ Lalti Kumari W/o- Harendra Yadav Village- Govindpur P.S-
Kurtha District- Arwal

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mrigendra Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 3 09-05-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad and the learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.
2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103(1), 3(5)
of B.N.S.S., 2023.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case and is woman and the
informant alleges that his son Vikash for the last one month was
staying in his sasural and on 01.09.2024, the informant got an
information that his son died, accordingly, he went to the place
of occurrence and found the dead body in Jehanabad hospital,
further alleges that the death did not seem natural, next alleges
that a dispute was going on for the last three years of his son



with his in-laws family and they were exploiting him physically, mentally and economically, thus alleges that the accused persons including the petitioner committed murder of his son.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that petitioner is own married sister of the wife of the deceased. It is next submitted that the deceased died on account of motorcycle accident and the doctor opined the cause of death as hypovolemic shock leading to cardio respiratory failure. The learned counsel next submits that the informant is not an eyewitness to the occurrence and the entire allegation hinges around suspicion. It is also submitted that had the accused persons been involved in the occurrence in that event they would not have taken the dead body to the hospital rather would have made efforts to dispose of the dead body with a view to conceal the evidence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State along with learned counsel for the informant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner but then are not in a position to rebut the submission of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that the informant is not an eyewitness to the occurrence and the accused would not have taken the son of the



informant to hospital had they been involved in the occurrence.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Pali P.S. Case No.78/2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

