

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4164 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-148 Year-2024 Thana- PAROO District- Muzaffarpur

Md. Ali @ Md. Ojib Son of Md. Abdul @ Md. Abdullah village- Daudur, Ps-
paroo Dist- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Alok Kumar Alok, Adv. Mr. Ajay Kumar Sinha, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Ratneshwar Prasad, Adv. Mr. Yogendra Kr. Singh, Adv.
For the State	:	Mrs. Indihar Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

7 22-07-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Paroo
P.S. Case No. 148 of 2024 registered for the offence under
Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 302 and 506 of the I.P.C.

3. The petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and is in
custody since 22.09.2024.

4. The allegation against the petitioner is to murder
the brother of the informant while he was going to
Muzaffarpur collecting his documents related with his vehicle.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner submitted that FIR itself speaks that the



information regarding occurrence was given to informant by this petitioner only. It is submitted that even through FIR the suspicion was not raised against this petitioner rather it was raised against other co-accused persons. It was pointed out that subsequently due to enmity petitioner was implicated with present case. It is pointed out by learned counsel that admittedly petitioner is not an eye witness of the occurrence and during course of investigation also none of the prosecution witnesses stated anything incriminating which may suggest *prima-facie* any involvement of petitioner with crime in question. While concluding the argument, it is submitted that petitioner is found involved in one more criminal case, where he is on bail.

6. Learned APP duly assisted by Mr. Ratneshwar Prasad learned counsel appearing for the Informant while opposing the prayer of bail submitted that during investigation petitioner was identified being the owner of scrap shop, but fairly conceded that none identified petitioner by name. It is also conceded that no TIP was conducted in this matter.



7. In view of aforesaid factual submission and by taking note of fact that implications of petitioner *prima-facie* appears only out of suspicions where petitioner appears to give information regarding occurrence to the informant, coupled with fact that petitioner remains in custody since 22.09.2024, accordingly petitioner above named, is directed to be released on bail in connection with Paroo P.S. Case No. 148 of 2024 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned JM 1st Class (West), Muzaffarpur /concerned court, subject to the conditions as mentioned under Section 437(3) of the Cr.P.C/ Section 480(3) of BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

