

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8038 of 2017

=====

Kishore Yadav son of Shri Parmeshwari Yadav, resident of Machharia, P.S.-
Alauli, District- Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Land Reforms, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Munger Division, Munger.
4. The Collector-cum-2nd Appellate Authority, RTPS, Khagaria. null null
5. The Additional Collector cum-1st Appellate Authority, RTPS, Khagaria.
6. The S.D.O. cum-Divisional Public Grievance Redressal Officer, Khagaria
Subdivision, Khagaria.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, GP-14

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

7 16-04-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner though

State is present through Mr. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, GP-14.

2. The present appeal has been preferred for the grant

of following relief:

*(i) For setting aside the order dated 06.01.2017
passed by respondent no. 4 in application
521110114071600247/2A (I.D.) No. whereunder
and whereby 2nd appeal preferred by the
petitioner has been rejected and the order passed
by Additional Collector cum 1st Appellate
Authority has been affirmed.*



(ii) For setting aside the order dated 17.09.2016 passed by respondent no. 5 on application (ID) No. 52111011 4071600247/2A where by the appeal filed by the petitioner has been rejected holding that Jamabandi created in name of father of the petitioner is wrong and has directed to C.o. encroachment Alauli i.e. to remove remove the to house of the petitioner who is residing since the ancestors as there is dwelling house over the land in question.

(iii) Further for quashing of order dated 24.08.2016 passed by respondent no. 6 on application (ID) No. 52111011 4071600247 by which application filed by the petitioner has been disposed of on the ground that C.O. Alauli has recommended to cancel Jamabandi standing in name of the father of the petitioner.

(iv) For direction upon the respondents not to interfere in peaceful possession of the petitioner over the land in question, Jamabandi of which created in due observance of law by the competent authority in favour of father of the



petitioner.

*(v) That for any other relief/ reliefs to which
petitioner is entitled in the facts and
circumstances of this case.*

3. On 21.11.2024, when the case was called by the
coordinate Bench, no one had represented the petitioner.

4. Today, on call again, no appears on behalf of the
petitioner, the petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

