

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4225 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-205 Year-2024 Thana- KHAIRA District- Jamui

Ashish Ranjan @ Gandhi @ Ashish Sinha Son of Mukesh Charan Sinha
Resident of Village and P.s.- Sono, Distt.- Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar No. 1, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

3 04-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned APP for the State.

2. In this case, the petitioner is seeking regular bail in connection with Khaira P.S. Case No. 205 of 2024, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 342, 323, 325, 307, 333, 353, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, while the informant who is Block Panchayat Raj Officer was going to the village of the accused persons, they stopped his vehicle and badly assaulted the informant and his driver with an intention to kill. It has also been mentioned in the FIR that mother of co-accused, Rishi Raj @ Dablu @ Rishi Kumar is Mukhiya of the village and she had withdrawn Rs. 31,00,000/- (Thirty one lakhs). She was directed



by the informant to produce the documents regarding expenses of those 31 lakhs but she was not providing the documents and it was the reason, the occurrence took place.

4. At the very outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that earlier the bail application of the petitioner was rejected vide order dated 26.09.2024 by a common order whereby the bail application of Rishi Raj @ Dablu @ Rishi Kumar was also rejected.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that the criminal antecedent of three cases mentioned in the paragraph-3 of the bail application are of trivial nature and petitioner has no concerned with the alleged allegation of misappropriation of the Government money. He is not a family member of Mukhiya and he is under custody for more than one year.

6. On the other hand, learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

7. Considering the above-mentioned facts and circumstances, the petitioner, above-named be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jamui in connection with Khaira P.S. Case No. 205



of 2024, subject to the following condition that the petitioner shall cooperate in the disposal of trial and make himself available as and when required by the court.

8. The petitioner shall remain physically present on each and every date as directed by the Court below and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bonds shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

Neha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

