

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5747 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-470 Year-2024 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

Naushad Kureshi S/o- Kalam Kureshi Resident of village- Sahjalalpeer PS-
Sasaram (T) District- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajani Ranjan Pd. Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

5 23-07-2025 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. This application, for grant of anticipatory bail, arises out of Sasaram (T) Police Station Case No. 470 of 2024, dated 03.06.2024, disclosing offences under Sections 341, 323, 504, 307, 379 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, on 03.06.2024, while the informant was returning from his building site, he was stopped by three accused persons including the petitioner. They started abusing and the petitioner took out his pistol and fired upon the informant hitting his finger. It has also been alleged that the petitioner and co-accused persons snatched Rs. 16,000/- cash from the pocket of the informant.



4. Mr. Rajani Ranjan Prasad Singh, learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the informant is habitual offender and about 10 cases are pending against him. On the date of occurrence, informant demanded *rangdari* from the petitioner and upon denial, informant and his friend started firing, due to which the informant has sustained injury in his finger. Counter case has been lodged by the side of the petitioner against the informant and others bearing Sasaram (T) P.S. Case No. 471 of 2024.

5. On the other hand, the learned Counsel for the State submits that the allegation against the petitioner of causing firearm injury at the hand of the informant is specific. The injury report shows that the victim has sustained firearm injury on his hand.

6. Regard being had to the submission made by the parties, considering the nature of allegation and the firearm injury on the victim, I am not inclined to grant the petitioner privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. This application is, accordingly, rejected.

8. However, if the petitioner surrenders before the concerned court and seeks regular bail, the same may be considered by the learned C.J.M., Rohtas at Sasaram, on the



same date, without being prejudiced to the fact that the anticipatory bail of the petitioner has been rejected.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

saurovkrishna/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

