

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6800 of 2017

=====

Hari Dusadh Son of late Shalik Dusadh Resident of Village- Saduri, P.S.
Narari Kala Khurd, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The Principal Secretary Revenue of Land Reforms Department, Bihar Patna.
3. The Director Land Reforms Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Collector Aurangabad.
5. The District Land Acquisition Officer Aurangabad.
6. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Aurangabad.
7. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms Aurangabad.
8. The Circle Officer, Barun, Aurangabad.
9. The Additional Manager N.P.G.C. Ankorha Narari Kala Khurd, Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Leelawati Kumari, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Birendra Pd. Singh, AC to SC-19
For Resp. No. 9	:	Ms. Binita Singh, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 18-11-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present application has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*for direction upon the respondents
not to interfere in the peaceful physical
possession over the land which was been
settled 1976-77 to the petitioner in the year
in view of the fact that the respondents are
forcibly trying disposses this petitioner
family to and member in the garb of
rehabilitation without adopting due process*



of law.

3. A counter affidavit has come on behalf of the respondent nos. 4 to 8 after service to the learned counsel for the petitioner on 13.09.2017 and as per paragraph 12, recommendation has been made before the Additional Collector, Aurangabad for cancellation which led to Case No. 89 of 2016-17. Neither the petitioner nor the State has any instruction on the present status of case no. 89 of 2016-17.

4. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of with a direction that if the same has not been disposed of, the petitioner shall appear and pursue the case if the aforesaid Cancellation Case No. 89 of 2016-17 already stands disposed of, the petitioner has to take remedy/matter to an appropriate authority/Court if the order has been passed against him.

5. The writ petition stands disposed of with aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

