

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7054 of 2017

=====

Ram Narayan Shaw son of Ramchandra Shaw, resident of Village and Post-
Gangajal Tola, P.S.- Sonepur, District- Saran, at present residing at 64,
M.N.K. Road, North Baro Nagar, Alam Nagar, Kolkata, West Bengal.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Collector, District- Saran.
4. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Sonepur, District- Saran.
5. The Senior Project Engineer, Bihar State Bridge Construction Corporation
Limited, Work Division-1,
6. Arvind Kumar, son of Sita Ram Prasad, resident of Village- Kishunpur, P.O.-
Dighi, P.S.- Hajipur Sadar, District- Vaishali.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dhirendra Kumar Jha
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Subhash Chandra Yadav-Gp15

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

8 07-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned

counsel for the State as also learned counsel representing Bihar

State Bridge Construction Corporation.

2. The instant petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):-

*“(i). For directing the respondent
authorities to make payment to the petitioner
the compensation amount of acquisition of
land in question on continuous lease for the
purpose of construction of the approach
road to the Digha-Sonepur Road-cum-Road*



Bridge being constructed by the Bihar State Bridge Construction Corporation Limited under the Bihar Raiyati Lease Policy, 2014.

(ii) For also directing to make payment of the consequential interest and other allied benefits on the said compensation amount.

(iii) For any other relief/reliefs to which the Petitioner may be found entitled to, in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. Pursuant to the last order, the supplementary counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondent no. 03 to 05 and learned counsel has taken this Court to paragraph no. 6 of the said affidavit to show that the petitioner has alternative remedy under Section 64 of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 in short “LARRA”. In that background, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching the competent authority/Court but there has been delay in filing of the petition.

4. In case, the petition is preferred within a period of four weeks from today, the concerned authority/Court shall take notice of the fact that the petitioner was pursuing remedy before this Court since year 2017 while disposing off the limitation



petition preferred along with main petition.

5. Writ petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid observation. Pending I.A.(s) if any, also stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ankit Kumar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

