

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.95 of 2022

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5491 of 2019

=====

Shyam Sundar Singh @ Shyam Sunder Singh S/O Late Brahamdev Singh
Resident of Village Kasar, P.S. Ariyari District Sheikhpura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar .
2. Mr. Sanjay Kumar The Principal Secretary, Education Department Govt. of Bihar.
3. Mr. Vijay Kumar Chaudhary The Director Higher Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University Bhagalpur through Mr. Hanuman Prasad Pandey its Vice- Chancellor.
5. Mr. Hanuman Prasad Pandey The Vice Chancellor Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur.
6. Mr. Niranjana Prasad Yadav The Registrar, Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur through its Vice Chancellor.
7. Mr. Madhusudan The Finance Officer, Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur.
8. Mr. Diwakar Kumar The Principal , Ramadhin College, Sheikhpura.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pankaj Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Prabhakar Jha (GP27)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 21-11-2025

Heard learned Advocates for the respective parties.

2. In compliance with the order of this Court, a show cause has been filed on behalf of opposite party nos. 4 to 7. It is specifically averred that the University examined the claim of the petitioner pertaining to grant of ACP/MACP benefits and on being found entitled for payment of Rs.636229/-, after adjusting the amount already paid from time to time, the remaining amount through Bank Draft dated 30.10.2025 has been prepared



in the name of the Principal of the concerned college for making payment to the petitioner. The Bank Draft has been received by the petitioner himself on 31.10.20225. Further an amount of Rs.48900/- has been paid to the petitioner towards the remaining leave encashment. A chart of calculation has also been placed on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the petitioner however submits that with regard to due arrears of salary, show cause is silent, besides he has certain reservation regarding calculation.

4. In view of the submission advanced by the learned Advocates for the respective parties, this Court does not find any reason or occasion to continue with the contempt proceeding. Accordingly, the present contempt proceeding stands closed.

5. However, if the petitioner is not satisfied with the calculation and he has still any grievance with regard to the due amount, he shall be at liberty to approach before the concerned authority, who shall consider the same accordingly.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Anjani/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	24.11.2025
Transmission Date	

