

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1085 of 2025

Arvind Kumar Singh Son of Late Rameshwar Narayan Singh Resident of
Village- Tajpur, Patkhauliya, P.S.- Kesariya, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the District Collector, Motihari, East Champaran.
2. The Service Grievances Redressal Officer, East Champaran at Motihari.
3. The District General Provident Fund Officer, East Champaran at Motihari.
4. The Block Development Officer, Tetaria, East Champaran.
5. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Shankar Shrivastava, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Standing Counsel (12)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 09-10-2025 Heard Mr. Vijay Shankar Shrivastava, learned
counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the
Respondents.

2. Pursuant to the order dated 04.09.2025 passed by
this Court, a supplementary counter affidavit has been filed on
behalf of Respondents no. 1, 3 and 4. Learned counsel for the
Respondents takes this Court to paragraphs 6 and 7 of the said
supplementary counter affidavit which are reproduced
hereinbelow:-

*“6. That the facts remains further
that after receiving all the desired documents
on the basis thereof the District Provident
Fund Officer made recalculation of the GPF
amount of the deceased father of the petitioner*



and authorized the same vide authority letter bearing no. MOT/2025/FP/321 dated 27.09.2025 amounting to Rs.10,14,443/- (Ten Lakh Fourteen Thousand Four Hundred Forty Three) only along with uptodate interest and dispatched the same to the Block Development Office, Tetaria, East Champaran to make payment of the authorized amount.

7. That the facts remains that earlier issued authority letter of Rs. 47,588/- bearing no, MT/2022/FP/441 dated 10.09.2022 was revalidated vide letter no. 19 dated 27.09.2025 and sent the same to the Block Development Officer, Tetaria, East Champaran, for further necessary action.

3. In view of the above paragraphs 6 and 7, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the grievances of the petitioner has already been redressed.

4. On the contrary, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter may be disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate representation before the authority concerned, in case some grievances still remain to be addressed.

5. In view of the above, the instant writ petition stands disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to file the



representation with respect to the remaining dues, if any, before the Respondent No.4 (Block Development Officer, Tetaria, East Champaran) within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order and the same shall be decided by the Respondent No.4 within a period of six week thereafter.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

perwez

U			
---	--	--	--

