

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5421 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-128 Year-2021 Thana- MANIGACHI District- Darbhanga

Md. Aaftab S/o Md. Safikur Rahman @ Chathru R/o Village- Bajidpur, P.S.-  
Manigachi, District- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Swarna Roy

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Aslam Ansari

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      14-02-2025                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the  
offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 447, 341, 342,  
323, 324, 307, 302 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner had earlier moved this Court seeking regular bail by  
filing Cr. Misc. No.82015/2024, which was permitted to be  
withdrawn by an order dated 11.12.2024 with liberty to the  
petitioner to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge.  
The learned counsel next submits that it appears that  
inadvertently when Cr. Misc. No.82015/2024 was taken up  
relevant submission was not made that charges against the  
petitioner stands framed on 12.08.2024. It is thus submitted that



charges against the petitioner has been framed and the petitioner if granted the privilege of bail will not abscond rather will co-operate in the trial to prove his innocence.

4. Learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.25000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Manigachi (Bajidpur O.P.) P.S. Case No.128/2021.

6. Further, one of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his father, namely, Md. Safikur Rahman @ Chathru.

7. It is made clear that if the learned trial court comes to a conclusion that petitioner after his release is trying to delay the trial in any manner, in that event, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

8. The learned counsel for the petitioner at this stage submits that he may be permitted to rectify the name of the father of the petitioner as Md. Safikur Rahman @ Chathru since the petitioner has been described as son of Md. Satikur Rahman.



9. Permission is accorded.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

