

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.23592 of 2018

1. Arjun Prasad Son of late Vanshi Yadav@ Banshi Yadav@ Rai Resident of Karbighia More, P.S. Jakkanpur, P.O. G.P.O. District- Patna.
2. Moti Lal Son of late Deep lal Yadav@Gope Resident of Karbighia More, P.S. Jakkanpur, P.O. G.P.O. District- Patna.
3. Pannu Lal Son of late Deep lal Gope Resident of Karbighia More, P.S. Jakkanpur, P.O. G.P.O. District- Patna.
4. Anita Devi Wife of Sachidanand Kumar Resident of Karbighia More, P.S. Jakkanpur, P.O. G.P.O. District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Land Reforms Deptt. Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Patna.
3. The D.C.L.R. Patna.
4. The Circle Officer, Patna Sadar, Patna.
5. The Executive Officer, Patna Municipal Corporation, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Akhileshwar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Raj Kishore Roy, GP-18
For the PMC	:	Mr. Sanjay Prakash Verma, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-11-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the grant of following relief(s):

“for grant of fair compensation of approx 4 crores of land and Building in favour of the petitioners, the petitioners are landless persons coming from Backward Class and have no other alternative accommodation to live in the Respondents are set to disposes and dislodge



the petitioner from their houses in which they have been living from over 90 years and they hardly managed the two ends meet for the family and if the petitioners are forcibly dispossess from their respective residences they shall be put to irreparable loss and measuring that connect be compensated in terms money. The petitioners live in these residences with old parents, new married wife and minor children in case of dispossession. The petitioners will virtually gone on street and it is never the intention of land compensation. Act. The alternative arrangement for residence of the petitioners is must before they are dispossess and. Hence this writ application early payment of compensation and dispossession only after payment of compensation. The Petitioner further pay to this Hon'ble Court of any other relief(s) which may be found suitable in the ends of justices and in the eyes of the Hon'ble Court.”

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submit that a perusal of the prayer would show that the petitioners being



landless, wanted compensation on the ground that they are staying for number of years. They could have instead approached the State Authorities instead of moving before this Court with demand of compensation.

4. In that background, granting liberty to the petitioners to approach the State respondents (incorporated in the writ petition), if they so want, the writ petition is disposed.

5. Needless to add, if such petition is/are filed, the concerned authority shall look into the matter and pass an appropriate order.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

