

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.23565 of 2018

1. Charwanti Kuer Wife of Late Surya Narayan Singh.
2. Satendra Narain Singh.
3. Narendra Singh.
4. Baliram Singh,
5. Shambhu Singh. All Sons of Late Surya Narayan Singh.
6. Sunaina Devi,
7. Bibha Devi, Both daughters of Late Surya Narayan Singh, All residents of Village- Karara, P.S.- Ara Mufassil, District- Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Patna.
3. The Collector, Bhojpur.
4. The Additional Collector.
5. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Sadar Ara, District- Bhojpur.
6. Gena Kuer, Wife of Manorama Singh.
7. Balkeshwari Devi, Wife of Late Bhuneshwar Singh, All residents of Village- Karara, P.O.- Basantpur, P.S- Ara Mufassil, District- Bhojpur.
8. Urmila Devi, Wife of Late Munsu Singh.
9. Santosh Singh,
10. Vinod Singh.
11. Subodh Singh,
12. Suntu Kumar,
13. Mintu Kumar, All Sons of Late Munsu Singh, All residents of Village- Kundaria, P.O.- Parsurampur, P.S.- Barhara, District- Bhojpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State : Mrs. Nutan Sahay, AC to AAG-12

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-11-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the grant
of following relief(s):

*“for issuance of an appropriate Writ in
the nature of Certiorari for quashing the Order*



dated 10.08.2018, passed by Hon'ble The Chairman, Bihar Land Tribunal, Patna in B.L.T. Case No.611/2017 as contained in Annexure-4. Whereby and whereunder Hon'ble Chairman, B.L.T. has been pleased to dismiss the case and confirmed the order dated 02.05.2017, passed by Learned Divisional Commissioner, Patna (Respondent No.2) in Land Ceiling Revision No.426/2007, as contained in Annexure-3 and order dated 18.06.2007, passed by Additional Collector, Bhojpur (Respondent No.4) in Ceiling Appeal No.1/2002-2003 as contained in Annexure-.2 and order dated 08.03.2002, passed by Learned D.C.L.R. (Respondent No.5), Sadar, Ara in Ceiling Case No.15/2001-2002 as contained in Annexure-1.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that with the passage of time and after the new development that has come, the petition has become infructuous.

4. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

