

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4367 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-430 Year-2023 Thana- SONO District- Jamui

Govind Keshari @ Golu S/O Shrikant Keshri R/O Vill.- Babubank, P.S.-
Jhajha, Dist.- Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanju Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 12-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code in connection with Sono P.S. Case No.430 of 2023.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges that on 27.11.2023 at about 06.30 PM when he along with his wife were going to Laxmi Nagar Temple for offering Pooja when on the way they reached near village Kewali when they were intercepted by 3-4 accused persons who started abusing and assaulting and forcibly snatched the motorcycle and mobile of the informant along with the gold ear ring and 'Mangalsutra' of the informant's wife and fled away.



4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was apprehended from the spot and his name transpired in the confessional statement of co-accused Mithu and Vikash who were arrested during the course of investigation. It is further submitted that petitioner was arrested in connection with Jhajha P.S. Case No.81 of 2024, as such the investigating officer of the present case got the confessional statement of the petitioner recorded while he was in custody in connection with Jhajha P.S. Case No.81 of 2024 where he admitted his participation in the instant occurrence.

5. It is next submitted that when confessional statement of the petitioner was recorded by the investigating officer of the case while the petitioner was in custody in connection with Jhajha P.S. Case No.81 of 2024 then why he was not remanded in the instant case.

6. The learned APP, Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that petitioner has antecedent of one case and is involved in a case of road dacoity and if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner the petitioner may abscond, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the



investigation to prove his innocence.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Jamui in connection with Sono P.S. Case No.430 of 2023., subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. with further condition that one of the bailor of the petitioner shall be his father, namely, Shrikant Keshri.

8. It is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that petitioner despite giving assurance to this Court is not cooperating in the investigation, in that event, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

9. It is further made clear that the petitioner will keep marking his attendance in the concerned P.S. which shall commence from 19.02.2025 till the investigation of the case is not completed, if the petitioner does not mark his attendance in



the concerned P.S., in that event also the investigating officer of the case shall be at liberty to bring the said fact to the notice of the learned trial court for getting the bail bond of the petitioner cancelled.

10. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Prakash Narayan

U		T	
---	--	---	--

