

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6214 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-598 Year-2024 Thana- MOTIHARI TOWN District- East
Champaran

=====

VIKKI KUMAR @ VIKKI SINGH S/O KAMAL SINGH R/o
Village/Mohalla- Krishnanagar, Ward No.38, P.S.- Nagar Motihari, District-
East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Anil Kumar, Adv
For the Informant	:	Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mrs. Pushpa Sinha, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 06-05-2025 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the informant as well as learned A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for
grant of regular bail in connection with Motihari Town P.S. Case
No. 598 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable u/s
126(2), 118(1), 109, 308(2), 303(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. At the very outset, learned counsel for the
petitioner submits that the marriage of the petitioner's sister is
going to be solemnized on 08.05.2025.

4. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and the
other co-accused persons are alleged to have assaulted the son
of the informant, namely, Keshav Singh, with a knife due to



which he sustained severe injury and took away cash amount of Rs. 25,000/- from the cash counter and also snatched the gold chain from the neck of the informant's son.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case due to dispute with regard to the payment of some amount of grocery. It is further submitted that the injury which is sustained by the informant's son is simple in nature. It is further submitted that the occurrence took place in the spur of the moment on the instigation of the informant side which is evident from para 34 of the case diary. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has twelve criminal antecedents and is in custody since 23.09.2024.

6. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and have stated that the petitioner has assaulted the informant's son with a knife with an intention to kill him which is clear from the scientific evidence collected during the investigation and he also carries twelve criminal antecedents, hence, he does not deserve the liberty of bail.

7. Considering the aforesaid submissions of the parties and taking into account the fact that the injury which is



sustained by the injured is simple in nature coupled with the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 23.09.2024, let the petitioner above-named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, East Champaran, in connection with Motihari Town P.S. Case No. 598 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors of the petitioner will be his close relative.

(ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the Court below, if so required by the learned Trial Court.

(iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be canceled by the Court concerned.

(iv) If the petitioner's involvement is found in similar nature of offence in the future, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bonds.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

Jyoti/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

