

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3854 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-251 Year-2024 Thana- MAHNAR District- Vaishali

Arjun Sahani @ Arjun Sahni S/O Bhola Sahni Resident Of Village- Bhatgama
Gorgama, P.S- Mahnar, Distt.- Vaishali at Hajipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Kanti Devi W/O Disesh Sahni R/O Village- Nayagaon Rusulpur, P.S-
Nayagaon, Distt.- Saran.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sachin Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umanath Mishra, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 23-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Mahnar
P.S. Case No. 251 of 2024 instituted for the offences under
Sections 103, 238, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is of committing
the murder of his wife by beating her with belts and iron rod.
Thereafter, the petitioner hastily cremated the dead body of his
wife.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. Learned counsel further submitted that there is a



delay of seventeen days in lodging the FIR without any plausible explanation, which in itself, raises doubt over the prosecution story. Learned counsel further submitted that the death of the deceased was natural and the information regarding the death of the deceased was given to the informant and her family members. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 02.11.2024 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. Learned APP further submitted that several witnesses, as per paragraph nos. 3, 4 and 5 of the case diary, have supported the case of the prosecution. Learned APP further submitted that the daughter of the petitioner has also stated that this petitioner beaten her mother due to which she died.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of offence as also the material available against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

8. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial



and conclude the same preferably within a period of six months.

9. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from today. If any such application is filed before the learned Trial Court, the court concerned shall consider the same on its own merit without being prejudiced by this order.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

