

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2764 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-398 Year-2023 Thana- SAHPUR District- Patna

-
1. Damyanti Devi W/O - Sri Satish Singh and D/o - Kapildev Singh R/o Village- Aiyara, P.S.- Karpi, District- Arwal
 2. Satish Singh S/o Vishnudev Singh R/o Village- Aiyara, P.S.- Karpi, District- Arwal

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Birendra Sharma S/o Late Vishnudev Singh R/o Village- Bhagwatipur, P.S.- Shahpur, Dist.- Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ansul, Sr. Advocate
		Mr. Dinkar Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Anil Kumar Singh No. 1, APP
For the O.P. No.2	:	Mr. Navin Pd. Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 07-02-2025 Heard learned counsels for the parties.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 387 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code and 27 of Arms Act.

3. As per the FIR, the petitioners and other co-accused persons, forcibly tried to fix pillar on the land of the informant When the informant went to the place of occurrence, the co-accused persons including the petitioner no.2 demanded Rs. Four Crore as ransom from the informant.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that



petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case. No such occurrence, in the manner as alleged, has ever taken place. The allegation levelled against the petitioners is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. It is further submitted that the father of petitioner no.1 had never executed any gift deed in favour of the wife of the informant. Petitioner no.1 is the sole legal heir to the left out landed properties of her deceased father and petitioner no.2 is the husband of petitioner no.1. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent, which is also mentioned in para-3 of the bail application.

5. Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail by submitting that the petitioners, in association with other co-accused persons threatened the informant to forcibly grab the possession of the land in question by preparing forge documents.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, since this is a matter of civil dispute between the parties, let the above named petitioners, be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.



25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) **each** with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Shahpur P.S. Case No.398 of 2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

shikha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

