

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1441 of 2025

=====

Dharmendra Yadav S/O Ram Chandra Yadav, R/O-124 Jigrawn, P.O. -
Jigrawan, P.S. Maharajganj, Distt. - Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the District Magistrate, Siwan.
2. The District Magistrate, Siwan, Distt. - Siwan
3. The Sub Divisional Officer, Maharajganj, Siwan, Distt. - Siwan
4. The Circle Officer, Maharajganj, Distt. - Siwan.
5. The Officer - In - Charge, Maharajganj Police Station, Distt. - Siwan.
6. Jangi Yadav S/O Manshi Yadav, resident of village - Jigarwan, P.S. -
Maharajganj, Distt. - Siwan.
7. Musafir Yadav S/O Manshi Yadav resident of village - Jigarwan, P.S. -
Maharajganj, Distt. - Siwan.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Manoj Kumar Madhukar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Sita Ram Yadav, GP-16
		Mr. Jitendra Kumar, AC to GP-16
For the Resp. No. 6 & 7	:	Mr. Prashant Kashyap, Advocate
		Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned AC

to GP-16 for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf

of private respondents no. 6 and 7.

2. In the nature of prayer made in the writ application,

the Court is not inclined to entertain the same for the reason that

from perusal of the prayer made in the writ application, it would

manifest that the dispute is between private individuals as the

petitioner is claiming that private respondents no. 6 and 7 have

come on his land.



3. Since the dispute is between two private individuals, the petitioner has remedy to avail in accordance with law before a Court of competent civil jurisdiction.

4. Hence, the writ application is dismissed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

