

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.26 of 2022

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5022 of 2021

=====

Vikram Kumar, son of Rajendra Prasad Yadav, resident of Mohalla-Krishnapuri, Police Station-Kotwali, District-Munger.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Secretary, Department of Personnel Affairs, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Bihar Technical Service Commission, 19, Harding Road, Patna through its Chairman.
3. The Chairman, The Bihar Technical Service Commission, 19, Harding Road, Patna.
4. The Secretary, The Bihar Technical Service Commission, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr.Sanjay Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Nikesh Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Akshansh Shanker, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

6 20-02-2025 Heard Mr. Sanjay Kumar, the learned Advocate for the appellant and Mr. Akshansh Shanker, the learned Advocate for the Bihar Technical Service Commission.

2. The appellant had approached this Court vide CWJC No. 5022 of 2021, seeking a direction for considering his case for being given weightage of 25 marks on account of his having gained experience while working in the Military



Department. The policy for selection and consideration prescribed that persons working on contract in the State of Bihar would be given weightage of 25 marks. The appellant never fell in that category. Precisely for this reason, his claim was rejected by the learned Single Judge.

3. We do not find any fallacy in the order passed by the learned Single Judge.

4. The appeal is dismissed.

(Ashutosh Kumar, ACJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Sujit/Krishna

U			
---	--	--	--

