

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6575 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-81 Year-2022 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE - DAUDNAGAR
District- Aurangabad

=====

Vikash Singh @ Vikash Kumar Singh @ Vikash Kumar Son of Kameshwar
Singh Village -Saranga PS -Barun District -Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Leelawati Devi Daughter of Deenanath Prasad, W/o Vikash Singh, R/o
Village -Saranga PS -Barun District -Aurangabad

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Birendra Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the State	:	Mrs. Veena Kumari JaiswalAPP
For the complainant/OP2:	:	None

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

5 05-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State. Despite valid service of notice, nobody
appears on behalf of complainant/opposite party no. 2.

2. The petitioner, husband of the opposite party no. 2,
apprehends his arrest in a complaint case punishable for the
offence under Sections 498-A, 504 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per F.I.R., marriage of complainant was
solemnized with this petitioner 5-6 years ago and out of
wedlock, one child was born and thereafter, this petitioner and
his family members started demanding dowry and due to non-
fulfillment of same, she was subject to cruelty and harassment
and ultimately, was forced to leave her matrimonial house. It is
also alleged that this petitioner solemnized second marriage.



4. While denying the allegations made in the present petition, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case merely because he happens to be husband of opposite party no. 2. At no point of time, petitioner demanded any dowry or committed torture with opposite party no. 2 and is still ready to keep the opposite party no. 2 with full honour and dignity. Moreover, the case is triable by the learned Magistrate. The petitioner has further relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of *Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar*, reported in *2006(3) PLJR 182*.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let this petitioner, as named above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of **Rs.10,000/-** (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M., Daudnagar, Aurangabad (Bihar) in connection with Complaint Case No. 81 of 2022, subject to the conditions, as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

