

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL REVIEW No.36 of 2024

In
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.386 of 2023

M/s Dilip Kumar and Associates (Accounts and Tar Consultants) through the Proprietor Shri Dilip Kumar, aged about- 56 years, Gender-(Male), son of Late Prasadi Shaw, Resident of Mohalla Bhadauni, Ward No.-12 (old)/ 35 (New), Near Sobh Mandir, Nawada- Baya Road, P.S.- Nawada, District-Nawada, Pin Code- 805112 (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Government of India, North Block, Cabinet Secretariat, Raisina Hill, New Delhi, Pin Code-110001, Name- Shri K.M. Nanda Kumar.
2. The Managing Director, Head Office, Punjab National Bank, 7, Bhikhaji Cama Place, New Delhi, Pin Code- 110075 Name- Shri Atul Kumar Goel.
3. The Zonal Manager, Punjab National Bank, R- Block, Chanakya Tower, Patna- 800001 (Bihar), Name- Shri Purna Chandra Behera.
4. The Chief Manager, Circle Office, Loan Section, Punjab National Bank, Ramchandrapur, Biharsharif (Nalanda), Pin Code- 803101 (Bihar) Name- Shri Atul Kumar.
5. The Circle Head- cum- Regional Manager, Punjab National Bank, Circle Office, Ramchandrapur, Biharsharif (Nalanda), Pin Code- 803101 (Bihar) Name- Shri Satya Priya Das.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Dilip Kumar (In Person)
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Additional Solicitor General
For PNB	:	Mr. Mritunjay Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR)

3 14-11-2025 Heard Mr. Dilip Kumar, who appears in person and Mr. Mritunjay Kumar, learned Advocate for Punjab National Bank.

2. The petitioner has preferred the present civil review application for review of the order dated 01.09.2023, passed in MJC No.386 of 2023, arising out of judgment dated 10.10.2022,



passed in CWJC No.5467 of 2020. From the record, it appears that against the order under contempt, passed in MJC No.386 of 12023, the petitioner had preferred SLA (C) No.22560 of 2023 wherein the petitioner has been given liberty to approach the High Court challenging the order of rejection of the representation. Instead of challenging the order of rejection of the representation, the petitioner has preferred the present civil review application which, in the opinion of this Court, is completely inappropriate; besides no error in the order, apparent on the face of the record.

3. After some argument, the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present civil review application with a liberty to challenge the order dated 08.12.2022, whereby the representation of the petitioner has been rejected, by filing appropriate writ petition.

4. In view thereof, the present civil review application stands dismissed with the liberty aforesaid.

5. Pending interlocutory application, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Satyavrat Verma, J.)

(Harish Kumar, J.)

Sanjay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

