

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2323 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-142 Year-2024 Thana- NAANPUR District- Sitamarhi

Sanjeev Ram, Son of Bishundeo Ram, Resident of Village- Rasulganj @
Koeli Ward No.1, P.S.-Nanpur, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sunita Kumari, Daughter of Anand Kumar, Resident of Village- Rasulganj
@ Koeli Ward No.1, P.S.-Nanpur, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nagendra Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KHATIM REZA
ORAL ORDER

2 05-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Nanpur
P.S. Case No. 142 of 2024 dated 28.03.2024, instituted for the
offence punishable under Sections 341, 376, 379, 504, 506/34 of
the Indian Penal Code.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the informant
was in relationship with the petitioner for last four years and due
to the relationship, she conceived but the petitioner gave
medicines to the informant and aborted her pregnancy. It is also
alleged that on 25.03.2024, the petitioner came at her house and
established physical relationship with her forcibly. When she



went to complain his father, all accused persons abused her and snatched away her golden *nathuni* and all accused brutally assaulted her with legs and fists.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that from bare perusal of the F.I.R., it transpires that the informant herself stated that she was in relationship with the petitioner. It is also submitted that the marriage of the petitioner was solemnized on 17.04.2024. The informant is married lady. She never raised objection against the relationship with the petitioner. The informant has not produced any evidence with regard to the termination of her pregnancy. Lastly, it has been submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 30.08.2024 having no criminal antecedents. Charge-sheet has been submitted in the case.

5. Learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, let the petitioner be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge



IV-cum- Exclusive Special Judge, Rape (POCSO Act),
Sitamarhi in connection with Nanpur P.S. Case No. 142 of 2024.

(Khatim Reza, J)

premchand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

