

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3862 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-895 Year-2023 Thana- SUPAUL District- Supaul

1. Guddu Kumar @ Guddu Mandal (Male), Aged about 23 Years, Son of Dinesh Mandal
2. Mangal Kumar @ Mangal Sah @ Mangal Kumar Sah (Male), Aged about 20 Years, Son of Bechan Sah
3. Sochindra Sah @ Suchendra Sah (Male), Aged about 48 Years, Son of Fochai Sah
All Resident of Village- Simra, Ward No.- 10, P.S.- Supaul, District- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satyam Anand, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umesh Lal Verma, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 12-02-2025 Heard Mr. Satyam Anand, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mr. Umesh Lal Verma, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection with Supaul P.S. Case No. 895 of 2023, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 188, 283, 341, 323, 325, 307, 332, 333, 353, 379 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, altogether 27 named accused persons and 125 unknown accused persons had obstructed the police officials from discharging their duty.



4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case. General and omnibus allegation has been levelled against the petitioners and petitioners can only be said to be one of the members of the mob. Petitioners have clean antecedent. On these grounds, petitioners seek to be released on bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, the fact that general and omnibus allegation has been levelled against the petitioners and petitioners can only be said to be one of the members of the mob. Petitioners have clean antecedent I am of the opinion that petitioners have, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioners, above named, are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial



Magistrate, Supaul, in connection with Supaul P.S. Case No. 895 of 2023, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. If any other case is pending against the petitioners as what has been stated in paragraph no. 3, this order will lose its force automatically.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

