

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20874 of 2018

=====

Md. Danish S/o Md. Khuda Bakash, Resident of Village-Muslim, Road, P.S.-
Nawada, District-Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Nawada.
3. The Superintended of Survey Gaya.
4. The Addl. Collector Land Reform Nawada.
5. The Deputy Collector Land Reform Nawada.
6. The Circle Officer Nawada, District-Nawada.
7. The Executive Officer Nagar Parishad Nawada, District-Nawada.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Kamaluddin, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sajid Salim Khan, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 14-10-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):-

*i For issuance of an appropriate
writ order direction to the respondents to
take further action as per law after final
order dated 15.06.2010 passed in case no.
149/10 by Survey Superintendent Gaya by
which found the legal petitioner has been
owner of lands bearing Khata No. 294, plot
no. 59 (Ka), (Kha), 0165 Area and 45 Aar,
carved out area from survey plot no. 4466 of
Survey ward no. 4 Municipal following
Nawada Town and under entry made in*



Municipal Survey in the name of state of Bihar is wrong and the survey superintendent Gaya, correct the record of directed to right in the name of petitioner.

ii. For issuance of an appropriate writ order, direction to the respondents that the order dated passed in Case No. an 15.06.10 149/10 by Superintendent of Survey Gaya has it has not been become final got set aside till date hence taking further action in respect of challenged higher court or by non legal order to make correction in the record of right is the negligence of the duty of the respondents.

iii. For issuance of an appropriate writ order direction granting any other reliefs for which the petitioner is found entitled.

3. The petitioner wants implementation of the order dated 13.06.2010 passed by the Survey Superintendent, Gaya by which he directed the inclusion of name of the petitioner relating to plot in question.

4. Learned State counsel submits that the perusal of the petition shows that pursuant thereto, no step has been taken by the petitioner and had straightway approached this Court.

5. This Court finds force in the submission of learned State counsel, in that background, the writ petition is disposed



of directing the petitioner to approach the concerned respondent with proper representation/supporting document following the order passed by the Survey Superintendent, Gaya (Annexure-1) to the petitioner.

6. The writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Raj Ranjan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

