

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2994 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-410 Year-2024 Thana- MANER District- Patna

=====

Jayveer Kumar @ Jayveer Singh son of Balveer Singh RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE-BEYAPUR, P. S.-MANER, DISTRICT-PATNA.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jay Ram Prasad, Adv
Mr. Akash Shankar, Adv
Mr. Nausheen, Adv
Mr. Atul, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Mithlesh Kumar Khare

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 08-05-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 25(1-B)a,
26 and 35 of Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that he received secret information that Yashveer,
Dalveer and the petitioner, who were brothers, are planning to
commit an occurrence, accordingly their house was raided and
Yashveer and Dalveer were apprehended; and arms and
ammunition in huge quantity was recovered, as detailed in the



FIR and the apprehended accused disclosed the name of the petitioner, who is their brother, as one who fled from the place of occurrence.

4. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession. It is next submitted that petitioner has separated from his brother and has constructed a new house adjacent to the ancestral house in which he is presently living. It is also submitted that the properties have been partitioned and in support of the same, an undated partition agreement has been annexed by way of annexure-2 to the anticipatory bail application. It is further submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. The learned APP opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that no doubt petitioner is a person with clean antecedent but the arms and ammunition was recovered from the house from where Yashveer and Dalveer were arrested amply demonstrates that the arms and ammunition was in large number. It is also submitted that petitioner is own brother of the apprehended accused, as such it does not appear probable that they would have falsely implicated the petitioner in the instant



case, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner reiterates and submits that petitioner was not apprehended from the spot and is a person with clean antecedent.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Maner P.S. Case No. 410 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his father, Balveer Singh.

8. However, it is made clear that in the event, if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioner, despite giving assurance to this Court, is not cooperating in the investigation, in that event, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.



9. It is further made clear that if charge sheet is submitted connecting the petitioner with the offence, in that event, the present anticipatory bail order shall lose its effect.

10. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

SUMIT/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

