

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19741 of 2018

-
-
1. Rajendra Mahto and Anr Son of Ramji Mahto, Resident of Village- Telni, Ward No.09, Pusaho, District- Samastipur- 848207.
 2. Jitendra Kumar, Son of Rajendra Mahto, Resident of Village- Telni, Ward No.09, Pusaho, District- Samastipur- 848207.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India and Ors
2. The General Manager, Eastern Railway, 17, Netaji Subhash Road, Kolkata, West Bengal.
3. The General Manager, East Central Railway, Zonal Office, Hajipur, District- Vaishali, Bihar.
4. The Divisional Railway Manager, Samastipur Division.
5. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Govt. o
6. The District Magistrate, Samastipur.
7. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Samastipur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	None
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Rishi Raj Sinha- Sc19
For UOI	:	Mr. Ram Tujabh Singh, CGC

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

3 03-11-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner though the learned counsel for the State as also the Union of India are present.

2. The present application has been preferred for the following relief(s):

(i) Issuance of an order, direction or writ in the nature of Mandamus commanding the respondents to provide



employment to the petitioner no.2 on account of acquisition of the land of the petitioner no.1 for the purpose of construction of new railway broad gauge from Hasanpur Road to Sakri Junction, by the respondent authorities.

(ii) Issuance of an order, direction or writ in the nature of Mandamus commanding the respondent authorities to provide employment to one person of the family member in pursuance of their own circular issued by the Ministry of Railway as contained in circular no. 3 (NG) 11/89 RC-2/38 dated 10.11.1989.

(iii) Any other relief or reliefs to which the petitioners may be found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the case.

3. On 22.08.2025, before the Co-ordinate Bench, there was no appearance.

4. It seems the petitioner has lost interest in the case.

5. Accordingly, the same is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

