

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2287 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-634 Year-2024 Thana- AHIYAPUR District- Muzaffarpur

1. Yadhuvanshi Sah @ Yadhuvanshi Kumar S/O Shatrudhan Sah @ Shatrudhan Kumar Gupta Village- Rasulpur wajid, P.S.- Ahiyapur, District- Muzaffarpur
2. Chandravanshi Kumar @ Chandravanshi Sah S/O Shatrudhan Sah @ Shatrudhan Kumar Gupta Village- Rasulpur wajid, P.S.- Ahiyapur, District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vaishnavi Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND
MALVIYA**

ORAL ORDER

4 09-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody in connection with Ahiyapur P.S Case No. 634 of 2024 from the Court of learned C.J.M, Muzaffarpur registered for the offences punishable under Sections 304(B)/34 of the I.P.C and Section 3 and 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. As per prosecution case, it is alleged that petitioner along with his family members have killed the deceased for demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. It is also submitted that petitioner is in judicial custody since 04.12.2024 and petitioner has got no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the petition.

5. However, learned APP for the State oppose the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. From perusal of the F.I.R. and impugned order, it appears that deceased died in suspected condition within one year of marriage. It also appears from case diary that cause of the deceased due to asphyxia as a result of pressure on neck. It is also alleged that accused persons have demanded several times dowry from the father of the deceased.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, materials on record and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

8. Hence, regular bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

Mayank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

