

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11815 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-160 Year-2023 Thana- TEKARI District- Gaya

Bablu Singh @ Abhay Narayan Singh Son of Binay Singh @ Ram Binay
Sharma @ Vinay Sharma Resident Of Village- Tapa, Ps -Tekari, Dist- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Praveen Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Braj Kishore Pd., APP
For the Informant :	Mr. Brij Mohan Das, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

5 01-08-2025 Heard Mr. Praveen Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Brij Mohan Das, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant as well as Mr. Braj Kishore Pd., learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since 02.09.2024 in connection with Tekari (Panchanpur) P.S. Case No. 160 of 2023, F.I.R. dated 09.03.2023 for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149 and 302 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. According to prosecution case, this petitioner along with other accused persons have abused and assaulted the informant and this petitioner fired indiscriminately which hit the daughter of the informant resulting into her death on the spot.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offences as alleged in the F.I.R. It appears from the F.I.R itself that there is no intention to kill the deceased.

5. Vide order dated 10.07.2025 a report was called with regard to the present stage of trial. Report of the learned Trial Court dated 18.07.2025 reveals that out of six chargesheet witnesses, four witnesses have been examined and the case is pending for examination of rest of the prosecution witnesses.

6. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner that he has fired upon the victim.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances that there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner supported by the medical evidence as well as report of the learned Trial Court, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Tekari (Panchanpur) P.S. Case No. 160 of 2023 pending in the court of learned Additional Chief



Judicial Magistrate-VI, Gaya.

8. Prayer is refused.

9. However, learned Trial Court is directed to expedite
and conclude the trial at the earliest.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

