

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3032 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-117 Year-2024 Thana- SAHEBPUR KAMAL District-
Begusarai

=====

Mohan Ray S/o Bhola Ray R/o vill - Raghunathpur, P.S. - Sahebpur Kamal,
Distt.- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Shivendra Kumar Sinha, Advocate
	:	Mr. Ranjeet Patel, Advocate
	:	Mr. Divit Vinod, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Sandeep Gautam, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 19-04-2025 Heard Mr. Shivendra Kumar Sinha, learned counsel for

the petitioner and Mr. Sandeep Gautam representing the informant

beside Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, learned APP.

2. The petitioner is in custody in connection with
Sahebpur Kamal P.S. Case No. 117 of 2024 for the offence
punishable under Sections 498(A), 304(B) and 34 of the Indian
Penal Code, lodged on 27.04.2024 by the informant Nago Yadav.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged
that the marriage of the daughter was solemnized in the year 2020
with Abhimanyu Kumar. He was residing in Delhi for his
livelihood but the family was torturing the daughter for dowry. On
25.04.2024, while she was pregnant, was killed. They tried to
conceal the dead body, the Police was informed, reached the place,
dead body was recovered and sent for post mortem at Sadar
Hospital, Begusarai. This followed the F.I.R.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is the father-in-law, had no role to play in the matter, the girl committed suicide but a different colour has been given by the prosecution side.

5. Mr. Sandeep Gautam representing the informant has taken this Court to the post mortem report to show that cause of death has been recorded as strangulation due to the injuries found therein. He submits that clearly, it is not the case of suicide and/or asphyxia due to hanging rather it is a case of strangulation that too when the lady was pregnant.

6. He further submits that the mother-in-law (Rinku Devi) move this Court in Cr. Misc. No. 6294 of 2025 which got rejected on 19.02.2025 by a coordinate Bench.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts as also the allegation that has come on record, the cause of death is strangulation, the husband being to Delhi, as recorded in the F.I.R. certainly, the role of parents-in-law cannot be ignored.

8. Accordingly, the bail petition stands rejected.

9. The Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

