

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-243 Year-2024 Thana- CHAUTHAM District- Khagaria

Saurav Yadav @ Saurav Kumar, S/o Sheo Shankar Yadav R/O Village-
Maranch, Ward No 11, P.S.- Chautham, District- Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rahul Singh, Advocate
Mr. Amar Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar No.3, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 05-02-2025 1. Heard Mr. Rahul Singh, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Binod Kumar No.3, learned APP for the
State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Choutham P.S. Case No. 243 of 2024 dated 06.08.2024 registered for the offence(s) punishable under Section(s) 132, 126(2), 121(1), 324(4), 324(5), 351(2), 351(3), 223, 191(2), 191(3) and 190 of B.N.S.

3. The main submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the petitioner are that as per the FIR on the alleged day and time of the occurrence, a crowd consisting of more than 20 persons blocked the road and jammed the vehicles' movement on account of motor accident having taken place



with a goat by an unknown vehicle and the alleged occurrence relating to the police officials was not preplanned and further, against this petitioner there is no specific allegation and the main allegation is against the co-accused persons namely, Ranjit Yadav, Uma Devi, Raju Yadav, Lukho Yadav, Sonu Yadav and Brajesh Yadav. The petitioner is simply alleged to be a member of the mob. It is further submitted that against this petitioner there is criminal antecedent of one case in which he is on bail and a lady police constable is said to have sustained injury in the alleged occurrence but before the trial court, the prosecution did not produce her injury report. It is further submitted that in fact the petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence at the alleged time and he was in Haryana for the purpose of his livelihood and he has been falsely implicated in this case.

4. Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the bail prayer of the petitioner.

5. Considering the above submissions made by petitioner's counsel, this court is inclined to grant the relief of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, let the petitioner named-above, in the event of his arrest/surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail in connection with Choutham P.S.



Case No. 243 of 2024 on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/-
(Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount
each to the satisfaction of the Court concerned, subject to the
conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

Rajiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

