

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2452 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-332 Year-2024 Thana- GAYA KOTWALI District- Gaya

Monu Quraishi @ Monu Modlero Rehan @ Monu Son of Md Yunus Quraishi
Resident of Mohalla- Maroofganj, P.S.- Kaotwali, Distt.- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Dhaneshwar Prasad Gupta, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Parmanand Prasad, APP
	Mr. Ujjawal Kumar Singh, Advocate
	Mr. Arvind Kumar Sinha, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 08-05-2025 Heard Learned Counsel for the petitioner and
Learned A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection with Kotwali P.S. Case No. 332 of 2024 lodged on 21.06.2024, for the offence punishable under Sections 363, 366(A), 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against the petitioner that he always used to disturb informant's daughter and had kidnapped her also. Upon search, the petitioner fled away. Further allegation is that on another occasion also the accused confined the victim for 24 hours. There is a continuous threat that in case police case is filed, he will make her naked video and picture viral and also threatened



to kill the parents. It has also been alleged that the informant had sent her daughter outside the town, he followed her there also and as a result the victim had to discontinue her studies.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is absolutely innocent and has committed no offence. He also submits that the antecedent of the petitioner is clean and the victim in her statement recorded under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C., herself came forward in favour of the petitioner and submits that no wrong has been done with her, contrary to the allegations.

5. Learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the victim is minor and has not yet attained the age of 18 years. Counsel submits that the petitioner has brainwashed the minor girl and trapped her completely under his influence.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that from the entire report/record, it transpires that the girl is minor.

7. In the present facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

8. It is hereby directed to the petitioner to surrender



before the Trial Court within a period of four weeks from today.
In case, the petitioner surrenders within four weeks, then the Trial Court is directed to pass order on his surrender-cum-bail application on the same day without being prejudice that the anticipatory bail of the petitioner has been rejected by this Court and the Trial Court shall pass order on the merit of this case.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Anushka/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

