

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.126 of 2025
In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15218 of 2024

=====

Vijay Kumar S/o Late Lale Singh Resident of Village - Kirtipur, P.O. - Sinawa, P.S.- Parwalpur, District - Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary Government of Bihar Old Secretariat, Patna, Bihar namely Shri Amrit Lal Meena.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Health, Government of Bihar, Patna namely Shri Pratyaya Amrit.
3. The Director in Chief, Health Services, Bihar, Patna namely Dr. Manoj Chaudhary.
4. The Director in Chief (Administration), Health Services, Bihar, Patna namely Dr. Manoj Chaudhary.
5. The Divisional Commissioner, Koshi Division, Saharsa namely Shri Dinesh Kumar.
6. The Regional Additional Director, Health, Koshi Division, Saharsa namely Dr. Shailbala.
7. The Regional Deputy Director, Health Services, Koshi Division, Saharsa namely Dr. Shailbala.
8. The District Magistrate, Madhepura namely Shri Taranjot Singh.
9. The Civil Surgeon cum Chief Medical Officer, Madhepura namely Dr. Mithilesh Thakur.
10. The Accountant, Sadar Hospital, Madhepura namely Shri Akhil Chandra Verma.
11. Dr. Hari Nandan Prasad, the then posted as the Additional Superintendent-cum-Assistant Chief Medical Officer, Madhepura.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amit Pandey, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Advocate General

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIBEK CHAUDHURI
ORAL ORDER

4 25-07-2025 C.W.J.C. No. 15218 of 2024 was disposed of on

07.10.2024 directing the Director in Chief Health Services,

Bihar, Patna (respondent no. 3) to dispose of the statutory appeal

filed by the petitioner on 04.07.2017 within 60 days from the date of this order, by passing a reasoned and speaking order, in accordance with law, after giving an opportunity to the petitioner or his learned counsel of being heard.

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the order passed in the statutory appeal was not a reasoned and speaking order and secondly, it was not passed within the period fixed by this Court. Therefore, the order passed in the statutory appeal can be reviewed in the instant contempt application.

3. I am not in a position to accept such submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner when the statutory appeal is disposed of, fresh cause of action arises if the petitioner is aggrieved against the order passed in the statutory appeal in the instant contempt petition. This Court has nothing to do on the order passed in the statutory appeal.

4. The contempt application be treated as disposed on on full satisfaction.

(Bibek Chaudhuri, J.)

Jyoti Kumari/-

U			
---	--	--	--