

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3712 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2024 Thana- SAMASTIPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Samastipur

=====

Azharuddin Ansari S/o Md Amjad Ansari R/o- Karim Nagar, PS- Mohiuddin Nagar, District- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sabina Pravin W/o Azharuddin Ansari, D/o Md. Tasuwar R/O- Gangsara, PS- Sarairanjan, District- Samastipur

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shashank Shekhar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Sumiran Rai, APP.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 12-02-2025 Heard Mr. Shashank Shekhar, learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Ram Sumiran Rai,

learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection
with Complaint Case No. 92 of 2024 registered for the offence
punishable under Section 498(A) of the Indian Penal Code and
Sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. As per the allegation made in the F.I.R., the
complainant who is the wife of the petitioner has alleged that for
non-fulfillment of demand of dowry, the petitioner along with
his family members had assaulted the complainant.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner submitted that the petitioner has tried his best to live along with the complainant (O.P. No.2) who is his wife, but they could not reconcile their strained matrimonial relationship. Petitioner in Paragraph No. 8 of the bail application has specifically stated that he is willing to keep his wife with full honour and dignity. On this ground, the petitioner seeks to be released on bail.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

6. Having considered the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, the statement made in Para-8 of the bail application that the petitioner is striving his best to reconcile the strained matrimonial relationship, I find that the petitioner deserves the opportunity so that he can resolve his matrimonial dispute with the opposite party no.2.

7. The petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Samastipur in connection with Complaint Case No. 92 of 2024, subject to the



condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

8. The bail application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

mantreshwar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

