

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2562 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-237 Year-2024 Thana- ATHMALGOLA District- Patna

Yogi Ray @ Yogendra Ray @ Jogi Ray Son of Ram Nandan Ray Resident of
Village - Burara, Police Station - Athmalgola, District - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ashok Kumar Kashyap, Adv
For the Infomant	:	Mr. Rajesh Ranjan, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Nand Kishore Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 12-05-2025 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner, learned
Counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Athmalgola P.S. Case No. 237 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable u/s 80(2), 238 and 3(5) of B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner along with other co-accused persons had killed the daughter of the informant due to non-fulfillment of the demand of dowry and also disappeared her dead body.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is the father-in-law of the deceased and has falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that



there is no legal evidence which would connect him with the present case. It is next submitted that similarly situated co-accused person, namely, Bhusan Ray, has already been granted bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court *vide* order dated 08.01.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 87683 of 2024. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has clean antecedent and is in custody since 22.10.2024.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and have stated that during the course of investigation it has come that the petitioner along with his brother had gone to throw the dead body of the deceased in the river Ganga. It is also submitted that the *chowkidar* had also certified the same and identified the petitioner, who was on the tractor. During the course of the investigation, even the driver of the said tractor had stated that the petitioner was involved in the said incident, hence, he does not deserve the liberty of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid submissions of the parties and taking into account the fact that the petitioner is the father-in-law and there is ample evidence to suggest that he had been seen to have gone to the river Ganga to throw away the dead body of his daughter-in-law, this Court is not inclined to



grant bail to the petitioner and the same is rejected in connection with Athmalgola P.S. Case No. 237 of 2024 pending in the court of learned S.D.J.M., Barh, Patna.

7. The application stands rejected.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

Jyoti/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

