

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.5709 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-16 Year-2024 Thana- GOPALPUR District- Bhagalpur

Pappu Singh @ Pramod Singh S/o Late Shyamsunder Singh Resident of  
Village - Navtolia, Latra, P.S.- Gopalpur, Distt.- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Tapeswar Sharma, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH  
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3      30-04-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned  
APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Gopalpur P.S. Case No. 16 of 2024, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 307, 302, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that, the petitioner along with other co-accused person murdered informant's cousin brother, his wife and their minor children.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner



also submits that the petitioner is father of the deceased Chandni Kumari. The deceased person were shot by co-accused, namely, Dhiraj Kumar who is son of the petitioner. The allegation against the petitioner is of assaulting them by means of Iron-Die. It is next submitted that the injury transpires in the postmortem report of the deceased persons does not corroborate with the allegation levelled against the petitioner. It is further submitted that 4 witnesses have been examined out of 11 charge-sheeted witnesses. The petitioner is in custody since 11.01.2024 and has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submits that there is direct and specific allegation against the petitioner of assaulting the deceased persons by means of Iron-Die with an intention to kill them. It is further submitted that witnesses in the case diary have fully corroborated the prosecution case and it is also a case of triple murder. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, stage of trial, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.



7. The prayer is rejected. The trial Court is directed to expedite the Trial and conclude the same preferably within a period of six months. However, if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from the date of receipt/production, the petitioner will have liberty to renew his prayer for bail in the Court below.

**(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)**

Rajorshi/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

