

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6896 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-35 Year-2023 Thana- BHAGWANGANJ District- Patna

Satyendra Thakur S/o Late Jagalu Thakur @ Janglu Thakur Resident of
Village- Chiraiyatand, (Pataria), PS- Karauna (OP), Distt.- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ganesh Prasad Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 02-07-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 302, 120(B) and 201/ 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has antecedent of one case and the informant
alleges that his son was married to Nisha Devi about seven
years ago and on 12.01.2023, his son after informing his wife
had gone to her parental home. Further, Nisha was informed that
petitioner had left her parental home, but did not reach home. It
is further alleged that on 22.02.2023 at 7.30 A.M., the dead
body of the son of the informant was recovered from a canal and
thus, based on suspicion alleges that the accused persons named



in the FIR including the petitioner may have committed the occurrence.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that entire allegation hinges around suspicion and petitioner is cousin father in-law of the deceased. It is thus submitted that it does not appear probable that the petitioner would have killed the son of the informant. It is also submitted that deceased went missing since 12.01.2023 and the FIR came to be instituted on 24.02.2023, only after the dead body was recovered. It is thus submitted that from 12.01.2023 till 22.02.2023, no suspicion/ aspersion was even raised against the petitioner. It is also submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees



Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Sri Ram Manohar Chaudhary, the learned J. M., 1st Class, Masaurhi, Patna in connection with Bhagwanganj P. S. Case No.35 of 2023, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. However, it is made clear that in the event, if any application is filed by the Investigating Officer before the learned trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioner, despite giving assurance to this Court, is not cooperating in the investigation or is not presenting himself as and when required, the learned trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner after recording reasons.

9. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Police Station through the learned trial Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

