

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1618 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-411 Year-2019 Thana- RUPASPUR District- Patna

Pappu Bind, S/O Late Suresh Bind, R/O Teslal Verma Nagar, Near Patliputra
Station Ps-Rupaspur, Dist-Patna, Permanent Add-R/O Vill-Kusumbha, Po
And Ps-Kusumbha, Beldar, Shekhpora, Dist-Shekhpora

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Priyesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Asha Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

3 15-05-2025 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection
with Rupaspur P.S. Case No. 411 of 2019 registered on
12.09.2029 for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of
the Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2018 and
sections 20,22, 25 of the NDPS Act.

3. As per the prosecution, a total recovery of 525 liters
of Indian Made Foreign Liquor (IMFL), 500 grams of Ganja,
and cash amounting to Rs. 53,070/- is the subject matter of the
present case.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence. It is contended that no recovery has been made from the conscious and physical possession of the petitioner; rather, the alleged recovery has been effected from the hut of co-accused Manorma Devi. The petitioner has no connection with the recovered contraband or the seized cash amount, and has been implicated in the present case solely on account of being the son-in-law of the said co-accused. It is further submitted that the petitioner was not residing at the place of the alleged seizure. However, it is also stated that the criminal antecedents of the petitioner are not clean, as he is accused in four other criminal cases.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that in addition to the illicit liquor, NDPS contraband has also been recovered from a hut. It is further submitted that the antecedents of the petitioner are not clean, as he is an accused in four other cases, all of which have been registered under the Excise Act. This, according to the State, suggests that the petitioner is a habitual offender and has been engaged in the liquor trade for a considerable period.

6. In this background, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of



the petitioner in connection with Rupaspur P.S. Case No. 411/2019, pending before the learned Special Excise Judge, Danapur, is hereby rejected.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

