

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15265 of 2018**

=====

Shri Shyam Sai Classes Private Limited Son of Shri Bishwanath Sharma,  
resident of Village- Aiyara, Tola- Ram Nagar, P.S. Karpi, District- Arwal.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Collector, Arwal.
3. The Additional Collector, Arwal.
4. The Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Arwal.
5. The Circle Officer, Arwal.
6. Rameshwar Sao, Son of Late Durga Sao.
7. Dashrath Sao, Son of Sri Rameshwar Sao.
8. Shatrughan Sao,
9. Bharat Sao,  
Both sons of Late Ram Kewal Sao.
10. Ajay Sao,
11. Vijay Sao,  
Both sons of Late Chandrika Sao.
12. Mostt. Kalawati Devi, Wife of Late Baleshwar Sao.
13. Mathura Kumar,
14. Ravi Ranjan Kumar @ Ravi Kumar,  
Both Sons of Late Baleshwar Sao. Respondent nos. 6 to 14 are residents of Village- Prasadi English P.S.- Arwal, District- Arwal.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Navin Prasad Singh, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Raj Kishore Roy- GP 18

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL DUTTA MISHRA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      07-04-2025                      The instant writ petition has been filed by the  
  
petitioner for the following relief(s):-

(i) For issuance of writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated 09.10.2014 passed by the Additional Collector, Arwal in Jamabandi Cancellation Case No.53A.C./2013.



(ii) For direction to the respondents to restore and to give effect to the Jamabandi No.55/8 created in favour of the petitioner in respect to 1.71 decimal land purchased through two registered sale deeds dated 15.06.2012 and 19.06.2012.

(iii) For any other relief or reliefs the petitioner is entitled for in the facts and circumstances of the present case.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that with respect to the subject matter, there was Title (Partition) Suit No.171 of 2012 which has been decided on 30.07.2024. In view whereof, this writ petition has become infructuous.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that this case may be disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate application before the appropriate authority.

4. Learned counsel for the State has no objection to this prayer of the petitioner.

5. In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner, as prayed for.

**(Sunil Dutta Mishra, J)**

Harish/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

