

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19410 of 2017

=====

Deo Narayan Paswan @ Dallu Paswan Son of Late Baldev Paswan resident of
Village - Sundari, P.O. - Pahusi, P.S. - Kursakanta, District - Araria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate cum Collector, Araria.
3. The Additional District Magistrate, Araria.
4. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Araria.
5. The Anchal Adhikari, Kursakanta, Araria.
6. Sri Ram Prasad Mandal @ Tatma Son of Late Tuppan Mandal resident of
village - Rajoula, P.O. Sonamani Gudam, P.S. - Kursakanta, District - Araria.
7. The Chairman, Bihar Land Tribunal, Patna, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shiv Shankar Sah, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Md.Khurshid Alam, AAG-12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 24-06-2025 Heard the parties.

2.The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) for issuance of an appropriate writ
or writs, order or orders, command or
commands, direction and directions,
against the respondent authorities who
have acted against the provision of
Law and illegally, erroneously
arbitrarily, collusively passed the order*



dated 21.11.2014 passed in BLT case no.-74 of 2013, passed by the then Id. Chairman of the said BLT, Bihar against the petitioner and hence it requires to set aside the order impugned dated 21.11.2014 (Amexure-6) and its consequential order dated 18.07.2017(Annexure-8) passed in Jamabundi cancellation case no-223 of 2017, for the ends of justice;

(ii) for set aside the impugned order dated 21.11.2014 passed in BLT case no.-74 of 2013, passed by the Id. Chairman of the said BLT Bihar, Patna. (Annexure-6);

(iii) for also set aside the consequential order dated 18.07.2017 passed in the Jamabandi cancellation case no.-223 of 2017, for compliance (Annex-8);

(iv) for direction to the concerned authority or authorities to not disturb the peaceful cultivating physical



*possession of the petitioner over the
land in question;*

*(v) for direction to the concern
authority or authorities to not conceal
the Jamabandi of the disputed land in
question;*

*(vi) for any other relief or relives for
which the petitioner is entitle.*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the petition, the sole petitioner has died and as such the heirs be granted liberty to prefer petition, if they so want.

4. The State has no objection.

5. Granting said liberty to the heirs, the writ petition stands disposed of as sole petitioner is now no more.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

