

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.16 of 2024

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4366 of 2020

Chandan Kumar Son of Sri Kant Singh, resident of Village-Dhanpurwa, P.S.-
Sahsram, District-Rohtas.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principle Secretary, Agriculture, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Agriculture Officer, Rohtas at Sasaram.
4. The Block Agriculture Officer, Nokha, District-Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Md. Ataul Haque, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. GA

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 20-03-2025

Re.- I.A. No. 01 of 2024 & LPA No. 16 of

2024 :-

The appellant was removed from the service of Agriculture Co-ordinator on the ground of his certificate by the Bundelkhand University being forged and fabricated.

2. Such removal was challenged by the appellant before the learned Single Judge on the ground



that the certificate and the mark-sheet issued by the University, in the first instance, only led to his entry in the service. It was only on the verification of the correctness of such certificates that the appellant was removed from service as there was no record of his having passed out from the Bundelkhand University at Jhansi.

3. The appellant, except for reiterating that there was an earlier certificate and mark-sheet issued by the Bundelkhand University, has not brought on record any document to indicate that he was a student of Bundelkhand University and that the earlier certificate was correct.

4. The Bundelkhand University was not even made party in the writ petition. Through I.A. No. 01 of 2024, a prayer has been made for impleading the Assistant Registrar (Confidential), Bundelkhand University, Jhansi (University) as a party respondent in this appeal, which prayer we deny forthwith.

5. Considering the fact that in the verification,



the certificates furnished by the appellant were found to be forged, the appellant does not have any right to continue in service.

6. We have no disagreement with the judgment passed by the learned Single Judge.

7. The appeal is dismissed.

8. Interlocutory application/s, if any, also stands disposed off accordingly.

(Ashutosh Kumar, ACJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Sauravkrsinha/
Praveen-II-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	22.03.2025
Transmission Date	NA

