

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2583 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-134 Year-2024 Thana- RAMPUR HARI District- Muzaffarpur

Sushil Paswan Son of Late Rajnarayan Paswan @ Raj Narayan Paswan
Resident of Village- Madhurapur, P.S.- Rampurhari, District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rupesh Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shantanu Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. B. PD. SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 26-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has filed the instant application

for grant of regular bail in a case registered for the

offences punishable under Sections 80/238/3(5) of the

B.N.S.

3. It is a case of dowry death due to non

fulfillment of dowry demand. Petitioner is father-in-law of

the deceased.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the

petitioners that the petitioner is innocent and he has

committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in



the present case. It is further submitted that petitioner is father-in-law of the deceased and he has no concern with the mess and business of the deceased as well as her husband. There is inordinate delay of 6 days in lodging the F.I.R. It is further submitted that husband of the deceased is already in judicial custody. From perusal of para 28 of Case Diary, it is evident that petitioner had gone to Punjab for his livelihood, prior to the date of occurrence, as stated by the witness. Moreover, the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 03.09.2024. A statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent. It is also submitted that after completion of investigation, charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. Similarly situated co-accused Sunaina Devi has already been granted bail vide order dated 04.12.2024, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 82982 of 2024, by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has



vehemently opposed the prayer for Bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case as well as period of custody, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail, **after framing of charge**, in connection with Rampurhari P.S. Case No. 134 of 2024 on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate IX, Muzaffarpur.

(S. B. Pd. Singh, J)

Nirajkrs/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

