

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16287 of 2017

Ujjwal Kumar Son of Sri Anjani Kumar Thakur, Resident At-Mahavir Asthan,
Akharaghat Road, Post Office-Head P.O., Police Station-Town, District-
Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. Through The Chief General Manager and Ors
2. The Executive Director, Bihar State Office, Indian Oil Corporation Limited.
Lok Nayak Jai Prakash B
3. The Senior Divisional Manager Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. Muzafarpur
Divisional Office, Krishna Com
4. THE Senior Manager Rs, Indian Oil Corporation Limited, Begusarai
Divisional Office, Post Office-Ba
5. The State of Bihar through the District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur.
6. The Circle Officer, Anchal Gaighat, District-Muzaffarpur.
7. Rakesh Kumar, Son of Ram Babu Singh, Resident of Village-Pirouchha,
Post Office-Kanta, Police station-Gaoghat, District-Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Pushpendra Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the IOCL	:	Mr. Sanat Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Arvind Ujjwal Sc4

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. G. ANUPAMA CHAKRAVARTHY

ORAL ORDER

- 2 18-06-2025 1. The Writ petition is filed in the nature of
mandamus commanding and directing the
respondent concerned to cancel the selection of
private respondent No. 7, granting the award of
Kisan Seva Kendra dealership within 1 K.M. from
Benibad Chouk towards Gangeya on Kewtara, Aurari
Road, District- Muzaffarpur under O.P. category,
pleading wrong description of required width of



lands. As per the advertisement, he does not possess the required width of land, but subsequently, even after being allowed to participate in process of draw, though he was not eligible to participate in the draw, in collision with respondent authorities, and after the draw process, he procured some other lands to fulfill the required criteria of width of land, and on that basis, he is being offered the award, which is illegal in eye of law. Further, a direction is sought to the respondent authorities to conduct an enquiry with regard to description of lands provided by private respondent No. 7 in the original application form the documents furnished by him to support the required criteria as per the advertisement. Further, to grant an award an ward of dealership to the petitioner, as he fulfills the required criteria as per advertisement within the prescribed period for submission of the application, but his valid claim is being denied.

2. A detailed counter affidavit was filed by the Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. It is contended by the Learned counsel for the respondent that a complaint was received against respondent No.7,



Sri Rakesh Kumar, from the Writ petitioner on 24.01.2017 and 30.01.2017 regarding the plot offered by the selected applicant not fulfilling the terms of selection. As per the Selection Manual, an investigating officer was appointed, and documents were sought by the investigating officer from the petitioner and the respondent, and after a detailed enquiry, the selection of respondent No. 7 was cancelled on 31.03.2018. As the grievance of the petitioner was redressed, the Learned counsel for the respondent prayed to dismiss the Writ petition.

3. Heard the Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the Learned counsel for the respondent-IOCL.

4. Considering the aspect that the selection of respondent No. 7 has been cancelled and nothing remains in the Writ petition for further adjudication, the Writ petitioner is dismissed as it devoids of its merit.

(G. Anupama Chakravarthy, J)

AMANDEEP/-

U			
---	--	--	--

