

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4870 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-242 Year-2022 Thana- MADHUBAN District- East Champaran

Jagarnath Thakur @ Jagannath Thakur (Male), aged about 70 years, Son of Saryug Thakur, Resident of Village- Naurangiya Gopalpur, P.S.- Madhuban District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijya Raj Lakshmi, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND MALVIYA

ORAL ORDER

3 19-03-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307 and 427/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per allegation in the FIR, due to some dispute all the FIR named accused persons assaulting and abusing the informant and damaging the house of the informant and when he objected, petitioner gave several sword blows on the informant due to which the informant sustained injuries. When the son and wife of the informant came to rescue, petitioner also assaulted them with lathi danda.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case due to



dirty village politics. He next submits that petitioner is in custody since 06.01.2024. He also submits that earlier the prayer for bail of this petitioner was rejected by this Court passed in Cr. Misc. No.25536 of 2024 with an observation that “the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and if the trial is not concluded within the stipulated period, the petitioner shall be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail before the trial court” but the trial is not concluded as yet.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State, opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. On perusal of the first information report, injury report, impugned order dated 13.11.2024 and status report of letter no.53 dated 22.02.2025, it appears that the trial is likely to be concluded within two months and the case is fixed for evidence as mentioned in the letter dated 22.02.2025. Accordingly, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial within two months as stated in the report vide letter no.53 dated 22.02.2025

7. The petitioner is directed to cooperate in the trial and if the trial is not concluded with cooperation of the petitioner, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail before



the trial court and the trial court shall grant him bail to the
petitioner, so I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

Brajesh Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

