

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1246 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-592 Year-2024 Thana- MUZAFFARPUR TOWN District-
Muzaffarpur

=====

Ganesh Kumar Singh Son of Nagendra Singh Resident of Village - Hathauri
(Ward No.- 2), P.S.- Hathauri, District - Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manindra Kishore Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umesh Lal Verma, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 08-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Town
P.S. Case No. 592 of 2024 instituted for the offences under
Sections 303(2), 317(2), 317(5), 111, 338, 336(3), 313,
61(2) of the B.N.S.

3. As per prosecution case, the accusation against
the accused persons including the petitioner is of committing
theft of motorcycle and, thereafter, selling the same to other
accused persons.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as



alleged against him and has falsely been implicated in the present case. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged occurrence or with the gang involved in the theft. He further submits that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has three criminal antecedents and is languishing in judicial custody since 27.09.2024 without any rhymes or reason. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner, stating that the offence alleged is serious in nature. The Investigating Officer, after completion of investigation, has submitted charge-sheet under Sections 303(2), 317(2), 317(5), 111, 338, 336(3), 313, 61(2) of the B.N.S. The petitioner has three criminal antecedents and, hence, he does not deserve bail.

6. Having heard rival contention of both the parties and considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case as also taking into account the period of custody of the petitioner, let the petitioner, abovenamed, be released on bail



on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with
two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of
Court below/concerned Court in connection with Town P.S.
Case No. 592 of 2024.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

