

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1251 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-252 Year-2024 Thana- NARPATGANJ District- Araria

Mithlesh Kumar Bharti Gulten Prasad @ Gurudev Prasad Bharti Village-  
Taufir Tamganj Panchayat- Farhi Ps- Narpatganj Dist- Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gopal Kumar Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Yogendra Kumar Singh

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      05-02-2025                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection  
with Narpatganj P.S. Case No. 252 of 2024 registered for the  
offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 307, 354(B), 379,  
504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant  
alleges that the accused persons including the petitioner came  
while she was cutting firewood, further the accused started  
throwing the firewood, on protest, petitioner dashed her on the  
ground and assaulted by leg and fist, it is further alleged that  
petitioner also assaulted the husband of the informant namely  
Birendra by an iron rod causing injury on head while Naresh  
assaulted Birendra by *lathi* on his chest (*Panjra*), on which  
Birendra became unconscious, further when his daughter came to  
save them, the accused behaved inappropriately with her and



petitioner assaulted her also by fist, further accused also assaulted Hiranand by *farsa* causing injury on head and petitioner also assaulted Arun by sword causing injury on head.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case on account of dispute relating to land.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that petitioner is alleged to have assaulted Birendra by iron rod causing injury on head, further the order impugned records that the injury suffered by Birendra is grievous in nature which amply demonstrates that the force used by the petitioner for committing the occurrence was such that it caused grievous injury on vital part of the body of the injured.

**6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.**

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

Rishabh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

