

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.54 of 2019

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15406 of 2012

=====

Mahesh Ray, S/o Suresh Ray, aged about 43 years, Resident of Village-
Mirjapur, P.S- Tajpur, District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Mr. R. K. Mahajan, The Commissioner Cum Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. Mr Chandrashekhar Singh, The District Magistrate, Samastipur.
3. Mr. Satyendra Jha, The District Education Officer, Samastipur at Samastipur.
4. Mr. Sanjay Kumar Choudhary, The D.P.O. (Establishment) Samastipur, at Samastipur.
5. Mr. Dev Bind Kumar Singh, The District Programme Officer, SSA, Samastipur.
6. Mr. Ishwar Chandra Singh, The Block Education Officer, Patori Block, District Samastipur.
7. Rekha Sharma, The Block Education Officer, Tajpur, District Samastipur.
8. Mr. Navin Kumar Labh, The Head Master-cum-Drawing, and Disbursing Officer, Market (Chinhit) Rajkiya Girl Middle School Tajpur, District Samastipur.
9. Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Jaishwal, The Headmaster-cum-Disbursing Officer, Market (Chinhit) Middle School Hasanpur Surat Block Patori, District Samastipur
10. Mr. Manoj Kumar, The Head Master, Rajkiya Utkaramit Madhya Vidyalaya Usraha, Block, Patori, District Samastipur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajeev Ranjan No.II, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Abhanjalli, AC to GA-12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 24-01-2025 Heard the parties.

2.The application has been filed for initiation of a
contempt proceeding against the opposite parties for their
willful disobedience to the order dated 06.07.2018, passed in



C.W.J.C. No. 15406 of 2012 whereby the Court has directed to the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Sarva Sikshya Abhiyan, Samastipur to take a fresh decision after hearing the petitioner, as well as, other concerned authorities.

3. A show cause affidavit has been filed on behalf of the opposite party no. 4. Learned Advocate for the State referring to the show cause affidavit has contended that in compliance to the order of this Court, a fresh decision has been taken by the District Programme Officer (Establishment), Samastipur, the copy of which is placed on record vide Annexure A to the show cause affidavit.

4. In view thereof, this Court finds that the order of this Court has already been complied with.

5. The contempt petition stands dismissed.

(Harish Kumar, J)

supratim/-

U			
---	--	--	--

