IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12485 of 2017

==========

Ram Sakal Das Son of Late Chichu Das, Resident of Village-Khidddi, P.S. Barahat, District Banka

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Collector, Banka.
3. Additional Collector, Banka.
4. D.C.L.R. Banka
5. Anchal Adhikari, Barahat. District-Banka.
6. Jawahar Singh,
7. Ashok Kumar Singh
8. Jitendra Kumar Singh All Son of Late Gowardhan Prasad Singh, Resident of Village-pair, P.S.-Dhoraiya, District-Banka.
9. Kisoa Das, Son of Kaleshwar Das. Resident of Village-Khddi, P.S. Banka, District-Banka.
10. Smt. Ganga Devi Wife of Jharkhandi Singh And Daughter of Parmeshwar Singh Resident of Village-Khddi, P.S. Banka, District-Banka.
11. Ram Dahin Mandal, Son of Kishun Mandal, Resident of Village-Gajanda, P.S. Barahat, District-Banka.
12. Ratneshwari Jha, Son of Bateshwar Jha
13. Arvind Jha, Son of Late Chiranjivi Jha, Both Resident of Village-Lakhpura, P.S.-Barahat, District-Banka.
14. Pappu Yadav
15. Randhir Yadav Both sons of Prabhu Yadav Most Biso Devi Widow of Late null
16. Most. Biso Devi Widow of Late Nakul Yadav Son of Late Basant Yadav null
17. Smt. Gaini Devi Wife of Bhim Yadav, Son of Late Basant Yadav
18. Ganpat Yadav, Son of Late Sarweshwari Yadav
19. Deo Narayan Yadav, Son of Ganpat Yadav. All Resident of Village-Khiddi, P.S.-Barahat, District banka.

... ... Respondent/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar Sinha, AC to SC-19

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

WEB COPY

6 05-05-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner though State is present.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the grant of following relief(s):

"(i) For issuance of writ of certiorari for quashing the order dated 08.08.2011 passed by D.C.L.R. Banka in Jamabandi correction case no. 12/2006-07 by which, he has observed that correction of Jamabandi is not legal correction of Jamabandi is not legal and if petitioner so wants then he may move competent court for determination of his title.

(ii) For issuance of writ of Mandamus commanding the respondent authority particularly Anchal Adhikari to trace out the Khatiyan and return of the land in question.

(iii) For issuance of writ of Mandamus commanding the respondent authority to make necessary correction in Jamabandi of the ancestral land of the petitioner.

(iv) For issuance of such other appropriate writ or order or direction which may deem fit and

WEB COPY

proper for the ends of justice."

3. Learned State counsel has taken this Court to paragraph nos. 9 and 10 of the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the Circle Officer, Barahat, Banka to show that the petitioner mainly on a basis of Khatian has filed correction of jamabandi case. The fact is that the then jamindar has already sold the land to the private respondents and several jamabandis came to be created in their favour between 1975-76 to 1987-88. He further submits that the 2011 order has been challenged in the year 2017.

4. Be that as it may, since there is no appearance, dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			

WEB COPY