

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9220 of 2017

=====

Munna Yadav Son of Chandradeo Yadav resident of Village- Banpur Latif
Panchayat- Kishunpur, P.O.- Ghamsar, Block- Lahladpur, P.S.- Janta Bazar,
District- Saran, Chapra.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Food and Civil Supply
Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The District Magistrate, Saran, Chapra.
3. The Sub- Divisional Officer, Chapra Sadar, Saran.
4. The Block Development Officer, Lahladpur, Saran, Chapra.
5. The Block Supply Officer, Lahladpur, Saran, Chapra.
6. The Commissioner, State Information Cell, Patna, Bihar.
7. Kalawati Devi, Wife of Radhe Shyam Yadav, resident of Village- Kishunpur
Lauwar, P.O.- Dhamsar, P.S.- Janta Bazar, District- Chapra, Saran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Ranjeet Kumar, Advocate
	:	Ms.Lakshmi Kumari, Advocate
	:	Ms.Kanishka Kaustubh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Manish Kumar, GP-4
	:	Mr.Mahesh Narayan Parbat, Sr. Advocate
	:	Mr.Ved Prakash Srivastava, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. G. ANUPAMA CHAKRAVARTHY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 25-04-2025

1. The writ petition is filed seeking direction upon the respondents authorities to consider and dispose of petitioner's application dated 02.01.2017 and 13.01.2017 whereby the petitioner has requested to make inquiry against the private



respondent No.6 namely, Kalawati Devi, PDS Dealer, Gram Panchayat-Raj Kishunpur Lauvar and to take appropriate action, as the petitioner has obtained information under RTI, which reveals that the Issue register, Distribution register etc. of 7th respondent for the period of September, October and November, 2013 has lost.

2. It is relevant to mention that the 6th respondent as per the cause title is “The Commissioner, State Information Cell, Patna Bihar” and the 7th respondent is Kalawati Devi. However, in the relief portion it is wrongly mentioned as 6th respondent.

3. Heard the Learned counsel for the petitioner and the Learned counsel for the private respondent No. 7 and the State.

4. The writ petition is filed only to direct the respondent authorities to pass appropriate orders regarding the applications made by the petitioner on 02.01.2017 and 13.01.2017. Therefore, without going into the merits of this case, the respondent authorities are directed to pass appropriate orders,



provided if the applications are still pending, within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, considering the merits of the case.

5. With the abovesaid observation, the writ petition shall stand disposed of.

(G. Anupama Chakravarthy, J)

vinita/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	29.04.2025
Transmission Date	

