

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4170 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-203 Year-2023 Thana- AURANGABAD TOWN District-
Aurangabad

=====

Md. Kalam Quraishi S/o Late Peer Mohammad R/o Village- Quraishi
Mohalla, Paithani Toli, PS- Aurangabad, District- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pawan Kumar Chaurasia, APP.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 07-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Aurangabad (Town) P.S. Case No. 203/2023 registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323,
325, 307, 186, 353, 504, 506, 427 of the Indian Penal Code
read with Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Allegedly, all the FIR named accused persons
including the petitioner along with some unknown persons are
said to have assaulted the informant and others brutally by
means of deadly weapons due to which they sustained injuries.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence.



No such occurrence as alleged has ever taken place. He has falsely been implicated in this case due to ulterior motive. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is totally false and based on concocted facts. He has never indulged in any kind of arson. He was only trying to pacify the mob. There is no specific overt against him. He has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-3 of this application.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the prayer for bail of one of the co-accused has been rejected by this Court. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the offence and the fact that the prayer for bail of similarly situated co-accused has already been rejected by this Court, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. The prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

7. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

8. However, if the petitioner surrenders before the learned Court below within six weeks from today and seeks regular bail, the learned Court below shall pass order on the same day in accordance with law without being prejudiced by



this order considering the fact that petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

divyanshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

