

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.3401 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-132 Year-2024 Thana- SINDHIYA District- Samastipur

Ranjay Singh @ Ranjay Kumar @ Ranjan Kumar @ Ranjay Ranjan Kumar
Singh, Son of Arun Singh, Resident of Village- Gaduaa, Ward No. 05, P.S.-
Singhia, District- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Bihari Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Bilash Roy Raman, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 15-02-2025 Heard learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the

petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the

State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Singhia P.S. Case No. 132 of 2024, registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352,
303(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.S.

3. Allegedly on the fateful day while the tree
plantation was going on under the Government scheme, on
account of some dispute, the petitioner alongwith others came to
the house of the informant and it is specifically alleged that the
petitioner gave iron rod blow over the head of the informant, as
well as his father. When the mother of the informant came to



their rescue, she was also assaulted.

4. Learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner contended that there is a counter version of the present case being Singhiya P.S. Case No. 133 of 2024 instituted by the wife of the petitioner. The petitioner and the informant are own *gotiyas* and there is old rivalry between them. The informant and his family members also bear criminal antecedent; moreover, the occurrence took place on the premise of a land dispute and only on account of past criminal antecedent, the name of the petitioner has been implicated in this case.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the State as well as informant opposed the pre-arrest bail application and submits that apart from the specific allegation of causing iron rod blow over the head of the informant and his father, the injuries have also been found to be grievous in nature, as is evident from the impugned order.

6. Regard being had to the submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the specific nature of accusation of causing grievous injury to the informant, as well as his father, coupled with his criminal antecedent in eight cases, this Court is not acceded to the prayer for anticipatory bail and



accordingly the present application stands rejected.

(Harish Kumar, J)

supratim/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

