

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1483 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-330 Year-2024 Thana- SINGHWARA District- Darbhanga

Ravindra Mahto S/o- Dukho Mahto @ Dukhran Mahto Village and Police
Station- Singhwara District-Darbhangha

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar S.K., Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Gauri Shankar Gupta, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 05-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Singhwara P.S. Case No. 330 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2018.

3. The learned Counsel submits that the petitioner has an antecedent of one case and the allegation is of recovery of 6.12 liters of liquor from a scooty. It is next submitted that the petitioner was not arrested from the spot and as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession, and he is not the owner of the seized vehicle and he came to be implicated based on secret information which is the easiest way to implicate someone.

4. The learned APP for the State opposes the bail application of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **Provisional Anticipatory Bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Singhwara P.S. Case No. 330 of 2024 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

6. However, it is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that the petitioner has more than one antecedent in that event the provisional anticipatory bail shall not be confirmed, but if on verification if it is found that the petitioner has antecedent of only one case in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J.)

Jyoti Kumari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--